Appendix B: Survey Results
I own residential property within 5 miles of downtown, shop in downtown as often as possible and am a resident of Port Orchard.

2. I live about one mile from downtown

3. I've lived in the city for 30 years.
1. Owner of 110 Lounge

2. The library is the ONLY place I go...I go there pretty often, but as far as patronizing the businesses, I do not ever consider downtown a shopping destination.

3. Downtown needs revitalization. Nothing to do.

4. Every day

5. Every day but not for work

6. I live close to downtown, but am older and don't need most of what is sold downtown. On a limited income so eating out is rare, home full, so don't need to purchase many decorative items. Food, medicine, gas and T.J. Maxx seem to be where I spend most of my money. Don't go to shows, probably 15 years since I have been to a movie theater.
1. We walk along the waterfront and make an effort to support as many businesses as we can downtown, especially restaurants.

2. Kitsap Bank

3. Shop downtown or drive through on way to other stores

4. walking

5. I only recently heard about the Marina park; I am looking forward to checking it out.

6. Go to City Hall

7. I take the foot ferry but I refuse to park downtown.

8. I live downtown

9. Banking

---

### 3. Why do you come to Downtown Port Orchard (select all that apply):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I work downtown</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I go to the library</td>
<td>40.5%</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I park downtown and commute via foot ferry</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I take my kids to the Marina Park</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmers Market</td>
<td>30.6%</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movie theater</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shopping</td>
<td>34.9%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restaurants/Bars</td>
<td>60.9%</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have a boat at one of the marinas</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To donate items at a thrift store</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I only drive through on the way to somewhere else</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

answered question: 43
chipped question: 2
1. I did live there for 18 years until going to college.

2. Lived here entire life until I was 18 (993) and moved back 2 yrs ago.

3. 18 years in Kitsap; 3 years in South Kitsap.

4. I worked in Poulsbo and did most of my shopping after work so that I could avoid the commute traffic so I didn't shop locally. Also all the grocery stores are past P.O. so I had to drive beyond P.O. to shop and I didn't want to add that time onto the commute. About the only time I used our local grocery stores was during vacation or at holidays.

5. If you own a business in the Downtown Port Orchard area, how long have you been in business?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than one year</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 to 5 years</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 to 10 years</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 to 20 years</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 20 years</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don't own a business</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

answered question: 30

skipped question: 15
1. Historic Charm

2. The downtown is unique in it's natural vistas. We love the little businesses, including the restaurants and bars that we patronize regularly. We love the library and the foot ferry. We love walking along the waterfront for miles. I would prefer the buildings downtown to be kept small scale and not exceed the 33-39 feet limits.

3. Waterfront, marinas, view

4. Waterfront, Kitsap Street (old historic homes)


6. The waterfront, Movie Theater, Moon Dogs Too, Amy's, Bayleaf Bistro, and The One Ten martini bar

7. *the foot ferry, noting its longer hours of operation *downtown library *the bakery, which must have finished its expansion by now, as it will be among many assets needed to encourage people to live and shop downtown *the boardwalk, since walking on that is probably better for one's joints and spine than walking on concrete for exercise AND it fits better with the maritime context *the farmers’ market, as I assume that it still draws a crowd *a covered walk along the storefronts in the downtown core, at least it was there in '06 and made for a more comfortable walk downtown when the weather wasn’t so good *live music within earshot of downtown core residents who keep the windows open at night, though I know there’s been a debate on keeping bars on the permitted use list

8. Water orientation geography

9. from a distance, it looks like a cute sea town

10. Some restaurants and the water & marina

11. Everything is super close to each other

12. Old world charm, Marina and great access to boating and Puget Sound Views

13. Crosswalks are well marked.

14. The best thing about downtown is the main street feel. Wings??

15. It's not Seattle

16. Potential

17. The charm of the little town on the waterfront. The marina and park with the library and coffee shops, the view of mountains and the ferry, etc. make for a pleasant day.

18. Waterfront POTENTIAL

19. Marina park, library, farmers market, wine bar and restaurants

20. Concentrated shopping with unique stores, great potential for downtown walkable living spaces, waterfront access with parks and trails

21. Nice small town feel. The waterfront is nice and you can walk around and enjoy yourself, cheap entertainment for the kids (very young kids) We like to take the foot ferry to Bremerton

22. The potential for it to become a place for entertainment and leisure.

23. It's potential for becoming a destination.

24. The waterfront.


26. The water. This asset should be built upon.

27. Village like charm with wonderful natural vistas, strong maritime element, quaint stores, all in a historic setting.
28. I love the downtown, small town atmosphere.

29. Retains "small town" feeling. Waterfront Foot Ferry

30. I love the waterfront and all the new shops.


32. I enjoy the small town feel. I like the looks of our old buildings, even though everyone else seems to think they are horrible. I love sitting on my porch and watching the activity on the water, the Friday night sailing group, the Christmas ship, the entering of the ships at the Navy Yard. The mountains when they are snow covered and sparkling in the morning sun, or the beautiful sunsets in the evening. When I was younger I spent a great deal of time spending money at the antique stores, but my house is full to the brim now. I do go to the thrift stores occasionally to find a treasure now and then. I used to go to Market Foods when it was down next to the Beachcomber, but now the grocery stores are too far away. I go to quilt stores a lot, and spend a great deal of money each month, didn't remember to put this in the first question, so adding it here. We need a beautiful quilt store downtown. That would bring a lot of business. Quilter spend and buy even though they don't need the material. Rochelle isn't as personable as I like so I seldom go there. Heirlooms Quilts, Poulsbo, Material Girls, Silverdale, Sue's Stitch and Sew, Shelton, Shirborie Dragon, Lakewood, Auburn, Olympia, Centralia. Quilters drive to good quilt shops looking for that right piece of material.

33. Its location within Puget Sound, access to Seattle, Olympic National Park, various towns around Puget Sound, access to Puget Sound itself, fishing and boating- it's a great central location. Also the small town feel- access to public officials and the fabric of the community.

34. waterfront

35. The small-town feel. No overcrowding, no feel of being in a "rat race". For city living, it's quiet. Beautiful waterfront that can be viewed by so many from the comfort of their homes. Many restored/well maintained period homes that add to our charm. Many old business buildings that add to the charm. I enjoy being able to walk to the public library.

36. Proximity to Puget sound

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7. What are the worst things about Downtown Port Orchard and its Wings?</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>answered question</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>skipped question</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. No grocery store

2. The worst things about downtown are the buildings in disrepair and needing painting. I do not prefer to necessarily see them torn down but would like to see them cleaned up and renovated. I do not like the wires seen from every angle. I would like to see all run down buildings cleaned up and worked on.

3. Empty, run-down buildings

4. Old shopping center just east of Blackjack Creek.

5. The new height allowance of 55 feet. Way too tall for the geography/topography of the area, which will obstruct or alter current residential views. Also, the removal of the view protection ordinance from the DOD area.

6. The curb appeal, the run down buildings are very unattractive. Bay Ford and the vintage shops are misplaced and are unappealing.

7. "sidewalk quality/continuity in the areas that you might call the 'transition' from the downtown core to the wings—certainly something that will improve as part of the renewal "after-dinner public transit between the downtown core and the restaurants and retail around Safeway and Albertsson's—early transit shut-down still an issue?" lack of reasonable parking for City Hall, particularly for people working there, pending completion of a parking structure "Does the shuttle service have a stop at the laundry in the east wing? Some people would not want to carry their laundry from the downtown core and back, but then again, most people would not be without a car during the week. "Overhead utilities and other obstructions to view of the inlet and mountains.

8. Decaying buildings traffic poor design

9. Up close, it doesn't look like a cute sea town

10. Run down. Keep the historical buildings, but maintain them.
11. High turnover in businesses and it seems kind of dumpy in areas
12. It isn't inviting, out-dated and needs better sidewalks.
13. Needs updating
14. the business are ugly--the buildings need to be demolished
15. The buildings need to be painted and more uniform.
16. Looks it's age. Wings??
17. It's not tourist friendly, or attractive to all the Marina visitors.
18. current buildings on bay street, with a couple exceptions
19. The run down look of some of the buildings but can be improved.
20. It looks run-down and there aren't enough shops that people really need.
21. Parking, no grocery store, wasted real estate on feed and thrift stores
22. Lose the car dealers, tire store, roofing company and shoreline parking.
23. It's too bad the parking lot is on the water, it would have been nicer to put the town on the waterfront and the parking inland
24. Junky looking store fronts, unpainted buildings, cigarette buts on the sidewalks, TOO MANY bail bonds and antiques stores, not enough restaurants, inefficient use of the water view (more like non-existent), the farmers' market is merely a craft/plant store (we went last weekend and NO produce!)
25. ugly buildings
27. It looks old and worn down. The buildings are falling apart.
29. The place is rundown and needs big improvements to draw people to downtown. More parks along the waterfront would help. Downtown needs to be an environment for working, living, and playing.
30. Junk shops, parking lots on the waterfront, poor use of waterfront locale.
31. The town is in need of a make over that when accomplished would still reflect our small town charm and character. The wings offer opportunities that the downtown doesn't, like marinas, condos with more height than 3 stories or so, and development like parking garages that doesn't muddle our downtown them and historic nature.
32. A lot of the older buildings are run down and uncared for.
33. A lot of the buildings look terrible.
34. The downtown structures look like they were beat up and barely survived the fight. It's not pretty. There's no harmony.
35. To me, it is that it doesn't have what I buy. I spend my check to the hilt each month, but little is spent in downtown P.O. Also, I am 63, overweight, and walking and carrying anything any distance is difficult. Those that want a more walkable city aren't talking about me.
36. Land usage and building condition. Pedestrian access.
37. unkept road sides including side walks and vegetation.
38. No grocery store to walk to. I don't mean a mega store like Safeway or a small store like a mini mart. It would be nice to have a grocery store like Trader Joe's, Red Apple Market, Larry's Market, etc. Something large enough to have most of what one needs yet small enough to not overpower the small town feel. Terrible traffic moving through downtown after the shipyard closes for the day shift. Run-down buildings. Parking lots along the waterfront. The bulk of the parking should be in the wings, not the core historic area. If a parking garage is chosen, it should NOT be located in an area that blocks views of current residents nor should it be obvious as a parking garage. Blend the structure into a hillside, underground, etc. Do not make it a towering structure that is unattractive, puts an emphasis on driving or ruins the skyline for residents. The rip rap fill of Blackjack Creek. Large concrete parking lots devoid of vegetation (i.e. Westbay shopping center or St. Vincent's). Poorly maintained rentals. Limited walkability. Limited number of restaurants.
39. Parking
II. What would you keep about Downtown Port Orchard and its Wings?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Answered question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skipped question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. historic charm
2. Small business is vital for our downtown character. I love the library being so centrally located. I hope Port Orchard can maintain the small town feel while being cleaned up. I prefer the buildings stay lower rather than become too tall or too modern.
3. Waterfront access and parks
4. Historic look
5. The waterfront parking and the library location.
6. Unfortunately I don’t know what should be kept. Honestly I get a little depressed every time I drive through. There is a ton of potential with the water so close and it is being completely wasted.
7. See the answers to Question #3
8. public access to shoreline provision for water views
9. movie theater, ale house, antique stores, the yummy bakery
10. Restaurants & farmers market
11. The city hall bldg.
12. Old town feel and keep the parking on the waterfront, don’t let developers build on the waterfront and move the parking back. We are the last of the great waterfront venues for car shows, fairs, carnivals, music & Farmers markets
13. the parks and marina.
14. The main street feel. Wings??
15. Small town feel
16. The parking the way that it is, but add in the wing areas that parking be included in development
17. I continue to think that it would be best to keep the buildings and make the improvements on them through repair and paint/details. The town is historical. As we have seen in our world travels (literally), Port Orchard is a gem.
18. The library and foot ferry dock
19. Cafes, the quaint feel, the park, library though maybe not at that location
20. See answer to number 3.
21. Keep improving it and make it nicer. There are some nicer shops coming in (Garden Baby, Morning Side) these shops have things that are more interesting to me that Tatoo and Bail Bonds. I know there needs to be something for everyone. It would be nice if all the buildings could get occupied. That is the problem with this town, lots of empty retail space.
22. The original integrity of the buildings (similar to downtown Port Townsend)
23. The not ugly buildings
24. Waterfront park. Library.
25. Shops, restaurants, library.
27. The downtown bakery and the dance studio is the right direction in creating downtown home town feel. Love City Hall. It makes a statement.
28. I like the old buildings and small town feel of the downtown corridor.
29. The strong marine element. The current scale and building size. The openness of the buildings to the water—i.e. not let buildings close in the city and roadway to being able to still enjoy the water and marine elements. As for the Wings, there is nothing that I believe couldn’t be changed.

30. Everything

31. Fix the buildings that are salvagable.

32. Small town feel. Keep as green as possible. Keep building heights from Bay to water, at the 33 ft. level.

33. I want the small buildings. A 44,000 sq. ft. building that jutes in and out isn’t what I want. High rise condo’s that will eventually turn into rentals, because the wealthy won’t want to listen to the traffic nose, smell the gas fumes, and listen to the drunks arguing after the bars close. Some nights they wake me up and I live almost at the top of the hill.

34. The Library. The Library is already well utilized in the community. I think it should be improved and serve as the Gateway for people accessing Port Orchard by water.

35. the Port Orchard Marina and the foot ferry to Bremerton

36. Those items I mentioned as liking in question number 3. Some of the old buildings that are attractive are in need of a restoration but not necessarily a remodel or demolition. There should be an amenity provided to a building owner that has a charming old building that could be restored for reuse instead of torn down.

37. Marinas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9. What would you change about Downtown Port Orchard and its Wings?</td>
<td>Response Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>answered question 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>skipped question 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. I would hope to make better use of our waterfront views, with relocating more to the waterfront and moving parking to an area further outside the downtown...area 5 on the parking study with a shuttle service helping to move people from her to there. I do not support the idea of having a parking garage in the center of the most scenic area downtown. It is both too costly and would be built in an area that would be better suited toward public enjoyment. The parking could be handled better in joining together with the county to help pay for a joint-access parking area off Port Orchard Boulevard but with access form the Cline Street area. I would like to see the car dealerships relocated to another area so as to utilize the waterfront better for scenic dining and lodging.

2. Add mixed-use buildings, improve variety of stores

3. Facade improvements and painting of downtown buildings

4. Add walking trails. Encourage a company to have a boat rental facility so you could rent a rowboat, or yaks, or canoes.

5. I would move the businesses away that don’t make sense in such a beautiful setting, such as Peninsula Feed, the Library, the vintage shops, the auto repair businesses, the car dealership, things like that and replace them and the old run down ugly buildings with new ones with businesses that should be on the waterfront and maybe add a few nice parks.

6. "Improve sidewalk safety and quality in conjunction with new development—sufficient width to allow two adults to pass each other, address areas with standing water where curb cuts meet sidewalk, control plant growth, eliminate dark spots *push for shuttle and ferry availability until 9 pm so that those who travel to or within Port Orchard for dinner at a restaurant can take the same mode for the return trip *understanding the reasons for opposing the continued operation of bars and clubs, perhaps there’s an incentive that would bring the live bands to the shell for outdoor performances *develop the farmers’ market into a bigger event with more fresh seafood to bring local fishermen into the picture, a ‘Taste of Kitsap’ event, and, of course, somebody from the County Health Department on-site to check for the usual food handling/storage issues *the new development between Bay Street and the inlet should consist of buildings with ‘best faces’ toward Bay and the inlet, sidewalk/boardwalk around the row of new buildings for pedestrians’ loop paths, park benches or seat-height masonry planters, etc.

7. allow more progressive development enhance water orientation
8. painting it would be nice.
10. Move marina parking & actually use the waterfront more effectively
11. Everything else.
12. rebuild downtown buildings with new retail and condos above them, but in the same places as the buildings are now
13. the buildings should be destroyed
14. Give a face-lift of the whole facade and fill in the missing pieces for that facade
15. I'd make it more tourist friendly, bulldoze the UGLY buildings that have been there all my life. Build store fronts below and condos above, make the currently marina parking a lovely park ie: Poulsbo. Turn the town into something beautiful we can all be proud of.
16. wires every where, non connected walk ways
17. I would definitely get a color scheme and possible simple accent ideas for the buildings. The few buildings that have been improved (bakery, pub, photography shop,deli) are very cute and the same can be done with the others. It doesn't need to be torn down to be improved. Awnings might be nice to take the place of the previous marquee, for shade and rain.
18. Where do I begin?! More restaurants, waterfront orientation with a park with sidewalks, more useful shops, more parking,
19. Better layout or flow to streets and stores, parking upgrades to buildings
20. Parking elsewhere, add connectivity from shore up the hill southward, and along shore line, add multi-value living spaces.
21. Keep cleaning it up. I believe it is getting better
22. See #4 and make the opposite (i.e. make better use of the view, clean up the trash and the shabby buildings, remove the multiple bail bonds stores closer to the city hall, etc.)
23. Adopt design/function conclusions from the consultants. Great Ideas! Great plans! Then nothing!! This is one of the reasons I may move from PO.
24. Redevelop the area with an emphasis on the waterfront and views. Use mix uses and a mixture of building heights. Use Tremont as the primary by-pass to reduce traffic downtown.
25. Increase density to draw more people to live in the downtown area. People who live downtown are searching for conveniences for working, living, and shopping. To jump start the area there should be some low interest loans available or tax incentives. More parks, bike trails, and etc should be added.
26. Retail overhaul, get rid of waterfront parking lots
27. I would upgrade the buildings as opportunity presented itself. While encouraging condos, I would take a good look at Bremerton and realize that we already have a strong residential element (the historic district that is made up of our founding father's homes) and that while a mix of condos is desirable, we should be self limiting. If they don't have at least one parking spot per unit, they shouldn't have the unit. The redevelopment of the waterfront is important: moving the parking and making/developing a town center that draws people to our historic downtown. I want to see buildings that reflect our 19th century roots, but again, tastefully done, and each created with the idea that all roads, paths, and walkways lead to the town center—likely the Sydney area near the library. Note: most economic development experts will tell you that retail isn't the draw for historic downtowns, but the ambiance created in unique and charming ways. As one expert recently reported, we need to give people a compelling reason to come downtown. The mix of retail needs to be such that the stores are of the type that keeps people coming back. If we fill our downtown with occasion type retailers, we will not be getting much draw. Another important issue is creating a "Third Place" type of occupany. These are the places where people enjoy shopping, or being, or being seen; and can be a boutique type grocery store with outside sitting for their deli and coffee service, to restaurants with interesting and unique features. It can also be a new Library/Community Center mixed building where people can go for a multitude of reasons. Lastly, downtown is fragile and the land is precious and should not be wasted for uses that could easily be shifted to the Wings. Be wise. The opportunity before us is unique and limited. We make wrong choices, we can't easily recover. The town will lose vitality and the "Big Box" shopping areas will become the area that people frequent.
28. New paint and maintenance on the buildings.
29. Tear down and replace the buildings that are falling apart.
30. Work with downtown merchants, and help those with less funding, to adopt a plan that remodels or in some way makes the buildings look like they didn't just survive a tornado.
31. A more pleasant entrance would be nice. Buildings that contour to the existing landscape and don't block the view of anyone already living there. The city hall if out of proportion to me. It reminds me of the building in Back to the Future. Too big for our community. New building looking like they were built 50 or 60 years ago and not modernistic glass filled. If housing were really needed, then I might think about condo's downtown, but there are plenty of places in our wings where large condo's could be built and not change the character of our town. In campaigning for certain council members, most of the locals that aren't in real estate wanted the character of our town to remain the same. They want the downtown to look quaint and not turn into what Bremerton is doing to their waterfront. They moved here to have the small town feel. They believe our community has many places where condos could be built and not take away from our character. If business come in that cater to the needs of the existing population then it will thrive. I went to Kingston to buy quilting material, decided to have a coffee, we walked around some of the small shops and I left almost $200.00 in those stores. Those are the kinds of businesses I think we need. Art stores that have reasonably priced items. Book stores, I don't buy much jewelry, but my sister does, so jewelry stores.

32. I think the wings should be developed more with higher buildings as the topology affords the preservation of existing views. The wings themselves offer potential for tremendous views and water access which are currently enjoyed by the back sides of buildings- Westbay Center, Bay Ford and St Vincents. I would like the ability to walk from one end of town to the other and enjoy access to the water along most of the way. Where access to the water is not available I would like to see dedicated pedestrian walkways. I think making the Port Orchard walk-able from one Gateway to the other, and making the walk pleasant, an attraction in and of itself, would be a great boon to the community. I would like to see a buffer between vehicular and pedestrian traffic- as it is now a good portion of the walkways are in or almost in Bay Street. They do not provide a comfortable means of walking from one end of town to the other.

33. Have the city of Port Orchard maintenance department be more diligent in roadside maintenance of sidewalks and vegetation. Encourage home and business owners to improve the looks of their properties.

34. The items I do not like in question 4. In addition, it would be beneficial to residents and boaters to have a State Liquor Store.

35. New buildings with restaurants
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Figure One</td>
<td>24.2%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure Two</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure Three</td>
<td>39.4%</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None of the above</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Answered question: 33

Skipped question: 12
1. Downtown Port Orchard should have the feel of a small scale destination place that encourages families and people to visit from outside the area. Should we start building high-rise condos made of concrete and steel we will lose what is most vital to this area. We need to clean up and make better use of the waterfront vistas without building too high and without losing what people have moved here for...some have called it Mayberry. A small town in which neighbors know neighbors. We must be mindful of protecting habitat for wildlife and preserving the significant trees. We should preserve historic areas and though they may need cleanup and some renovation, be protected from careless development that levels everything in...
sight to make the project less costly in the short term, but costing everyone in the longterm when we lose the charm and appeal of being a destination.

2. It’s important to encourage developers to come to our area and improve it. In order to do that we need a very clear set of guidelines for them to follow as well as provide incentives for them to build in our area. Without those things Port Orchard is going to stay run down and ugly for another 20 years.

3. *For any mixed use project, the possibility of restaurant uses (presumably on the ground floor only) shall be decided upon early in the review process. Plans for buildings with restaurant potential must include indications of roof- and wall-mounted exterior ducts and vents so as to attend to aesthetics from the perspective of neighboring property owners. *Allow the 55 and over group, plus those with limited mobility, the option of living on the ground floor in the west wing and along flat sites/streets in the east wing. *Balancing open space needs with the limited prime development space along the waterfront is one of the bigger challenges. There’s a fair amount of open space now, with the boardwalk, band shell and piers. I would expect developments to feature outdoor restaurant seating and the like while preserving the boardwalk and sidewalks that would tie the wings to the downtown core. That would be enough open space. Do not allow owners and tenants to obstruct pedestrian passage along the waterfront, Bay Street, etc. *Extending ferry and shuttle transit until 9 pm should reduce the need for travel by car in the study areas. Perhaps development impact fees that would normally go toward road construction and maintenance could go toward public transit instead. In the near term, parking requirements in the study areas should be reduced in favor of pedestrians and public transit. Developers who propose X number of spaces beyond what is required for a given project should be made to pay for those spaces. The City could apply that money toward public parking, transit or events to draw people to Port Orchard on a weekend. After all, it’s hard to pursue more parking while pursuing ‘green’ development at the same time. *In my opinion, the existing structures in the downtown core and the wings do not go far in representing the town’s history. Rather than encumber the renewal effort with historic overlays, find more fluid ways to inform people of the town’s history (e.g. exhibits at the library, limited use of historic site markers, a quarterly or semi-annual resolution or newsletter by the City Council in recognition of Port Orchard’s historical figures, etc.). *Provide bike lanes or allow bike/ped use of sidewalks and the boardwalk, but do neither of those things in a way that encourages bicycling on streets as steep as Bethel.

4. i hope that these things can happen. PO would be savvy if they happen!

5. Do not take away from the massive marine parking areas, thats what brings in tourism,

6. clean, neat, and modern appearance is more important than historic. historic seems a code word for trashy current buildings

7. So much of the maps had so little South side of Bay and would like to see that increased. The limit of height to three stories on the north side, yes. On the South side, no.

8. I’m actually not in favor of letting developers build up, etc. This is going to create several problems. I’m not sure if taller buildings are a good idea for a small town with one road. I certainly wouldn’t want buildings build up on the water side. That would create a tunnel effect. Also, new buildings with upscale shops and condos that I’ve heard talked about worry me because we can’t support “upscale” in this town. We are not Bainbridge island or Bellevue. I also worry about impacting home owners on the hill, many who’ve been here a long time and/or bought here because of the view and quiet charm. If any part of a view is impacted, the value of the home goes down and I consider that a theft of value, no matter what the ordinances are. The hill neighborhood is also historical and can be part of the whold Port Orchard experience.

9. Virtually anything you do would be an improvement--just please do something!

10. The wings should focus on shops closer in to encourage a walkable business district with living above, but further out, hotels, condos, townhomes to encourage transit oriented development. Some day I want to live downtown and walk along the shore or tree-lined path to a foot ferry to Seattle. Coming home I want to stop and pick up dinner or buy a few groceries, on weekends, I want to rent a kayak or take my boat out of the Marina or visit shops and a farmers market and expanded library...

11. Bremerton is a perfect example of changing the waterfront environment. They had a great plan however they have taken waterfront too far in the density and design of the buildings. I envision Port Orchard to be like Winslow. Quaint. People enjoy walking around Winslow on a nice sunny day. They have unique stores, art, etc.

12. Above all I want us to reclaim the waterfront for better public use. Shift the parking somewhere else, perhaps a garage somewhere off the path a bit. Add bike paths along the water and any biking amenities to encourage folks to leave their cars at home and visit downtown on bike or foot.

13. A city garage, whether in the wings or totally underground on prospect is important if we are to move parking off the waterfront; however, new condos must provide their own parking, otherwise we would need multiple parking garages. The number of downtown residential must be limited as the downtown itself is too small to accomodate all the parking that the marina, parks, library, and retail need to operate and survive. The cookies cutter approach to development where everyone can have zero clearance property lines, or must have the same set backs or landscaping, or green issues, makes it very difficult for developers to develop their unique site. That is why oversight is so important when trying to maintain small town charm and character. Leavenworth didn’t get to be they way they are without stringent rules with practical oversight.

14. Comments seem to lead to what developer are asking for in the city. Hard to pick some of choices. I don’t want the tall buildings downtown. I want it to remain “quaint” I want the taller more imposing buildings in the wings, but not blocking existing people’s views. Right now P.O. if ripe for the plucking by developers with lots of money to come in and destroy our town and then pack up and leave and we are left with the mess. Bremerton will fill the condo’s eventually, but why would we want our town to look like that on our waterfront. It isn’t what I want. Kent, modernized around their “Old Town”, but left it
intack, but spruced it up. If our buildings need to be replaced because they are structurally not sound, fine, but not huge buildings that change the face of our downtown. Some people believe all we can do is tear it down and rebuild. Those people are in it to make a lot of money off of us and then leave. The boaters pick small communities that have quaint shops, restaurants and activities downtown. They don’t particularly boat to Seattle as a destination. They go to Poulsbo, Gig Harbor, Port Townsend, Port Ludlow, The San Juans, LaConner, etc. None of these places are high rise communities. Having the Library downtown is a draw. Bookstores, Art shops, Marine suppliers for fixing broken things on their boats, I go to Peninsula Feed to keep my lawnmover, DR trimmer in repair, Kitsap Housing Authority is selling us out also, basically like they are doing to Silverdale. Build, live in a place for a short time and then move on. Monsieur isn’t hanging around any longer than he has to build, and then sell to K.H.A. Not what I want for my downtown.

15. I think encouraging the walk-ability of the downtown area, access to the waterfront with green areas and more landscaping along the roads is very important. Set backs that create a buffer between traffic and pedestrians is very important. I think 3 story or possibly taller buildings are okay- I agree with stepping building back to mimic the hillsides as a means of reducing the tunnel affect. I think varying the building facades is another way to achieve this. I also think it is very important to agree on a theme for the city. I like the Craftsman suggestion. I think it is vague enough to not be kitschy, but still provides developers, current and potential business owners with a guideline. Port Orchard needs some sort of means to tie all areas together. I think the Craftsman style has the connotation of understated quality. From Wikipedia “The style incorporated locally handcrafted wood, glass, and metal work that is both simple and elegant. A reaction to Victorian opulence and the increasingly common mass-produced housing elements, the style incorporated clean lines, sturdy structure, and natural materials.”

16. Increase greenery, decrease impervious surfaces! Increase walkability, decrease focus on driving everywhere! No tall buildings in the core district. Follow the topography in the wings to allow for taller buildings (taller than the 3 stories mentioned above). LID is a must! Promote environmental stewardship and sustainability.

---

13. Are there specific types of businesses you would like to see in the Wings or Gateways to Downtown?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Answered question</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skipped question</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. deli’s, pubs, antique stores, Trader Joe’s
2. Low impact hotels, restaurants, specialty groceries (small scale), shops, boutiques, businesses that allow boaters access to their shopping needs.
3. Grocery stores, boat rentals, boat repairs and maintenance, boat sales, restaurants, flower shops, stationary shops, quilt shops
4. Bistros and Cafes, Coffee Shops, book stores, high end retail. Maybe add an attractive grocery store/boaters mart. Anything that will draw boaters in is a good thing. The farmers market is wonderful. Take the library out of the center of downtown and move it to the land side of Bay Street on the Bethel wing. I know a good used car lot that would be perfect for it. Just a suggestion…
5. bakery, laundry, pharmacy, restaurants, bank, small grocery, library/bookstore/newsstand
6. no
8. Boutiques, cafes, vintage shops, kid friendly places.
9. coffee bars, delis, book stores--the kinds of businesses where folks in a community congregate and interact
10. National restaurants
11. Keep the medical up the hill, otherwise community focused services, retail, office
12. I like restaurants of different types. It would be nice to have a small grocery store, bigger than a mini mart but not huge.
13. restaurants (chains), new/clean movie theatres, painting/pottery places, bookstores, coffee shops,
14. A grocery store would be great to get more boaters and live aboards to the area.
15. Hotels, Brew Pub (as in olden days ;), marinas, docks and boat & bicycle rentals
16. Sidewalks and bike lanes along the full route of downtown.
17. Retail shops - galleries restaurants - similar mix to poulsbo’s. on the wings, new small business research industry.
18. grocery store, mariner shop
20. The Wings should be the home of most new condo type residential units. The geography would allow increased height without adversely affecting our image. There would be more room for parking on these sights. Other types of stores, that support and increased Wing population would be appropriate as well as stores that support or marine orientation.
21. book stores
22. I need a good gas station. I also would like a grocery store so I don't have to drive all the way through town and then up to Mile Hill of Bethel Ave. I usually buy my groceries close to where I have already been shopping so I don't have to drive farther!
23. Businesses that encourage visiting the waterfront- that provide access to the waterfront. Currently there are a number of businesses that block access to some of the best waterfront in Port Orchard. I prefer independent businesses to chains but I am not totally opposed to chains especially if they are required to participate in the theme of the town.
24. small grocery store, liquor store, variety of restaurants/coffee shops/tasteful bars

| 14. Are there specific types of businesses you would NOT like to see in the Downtown Wings or Gateways? |
| Response Count |
| answered question 25 |
| shipped question 20 |

1. tatoos parlors, adult stores, gambling establishments, big box stores
2. Please, no large box stores, no huge parking structures, no tall buildings, office or condos, as little asphalt as possible. It doesn't make sense to have waterfront car dealerships although the sales tax generated from their sales is vital to our economic survival so perhaps relocating them to the commercial corridor by Sedgewick and Highway 16.
3. No more Bail Bonds facilities or tattoo shops.
4. Car dealerships, car repair shops, vintage shops those sorts of things are pretty ugly. Get rid of the ugly buildings too.
5. anything intended to be concentrated in the GUMPOD
6. more bars
7. Not really, bring it all!
8. just keep out the junk 'antique' stores
9. Strip or nude places, no adult stores
10. NO strip-mall type crap. No casinos, laundry mats, and we already have too many tattoo parlors for one small town!
11. Medical or industrial, nor box stores
12. I really don't think that "upscale" clothing stores would work.
13. tatoos, "divey" bars, smoke shops, used collectible junk disguised as "antiques," laundry mats, "check-n-go check casing type of places, tanning salons,
14. No car dealers, tire shops, or additional gas stations.
15. Bail bonds and less antiques/crafts.
16. Porn shops
17. No more bars or tattoo parlors
18. Porn shops, sleazy bars
19. Farm equipment sales.
20. tatoos parlors, bail bondsmans, warehouses,
21. Antique shops, bicycle graveyards, etc.
22. Auto dealers. Stores that have little to do with providing attraction to tourists and residential. Stores that would not attract
people on a regular basis would not be good.

23. The businesses should be pleasing to the eye and not draw a clientele that would scare most of us away from the area. I don't think Tatoo parlors, bail bonds men, construction equipment, etc. We are a marina, so I don't think anything would be wrong with boat sellers. I have always though the boat yards going into Port Townsend look messy and not eye appealing so I probably wouldn't enjoy seeing those on a main drag.

24. I don't really like chains, but I think if the embrace the theme of the town then I would not be opposed to them.

25. Tatoo parlors, adult entertainment, gambling, shops with names like "Cheap Smokes", mini marts, big box stores, etc.

15. Are there specific parcels that should be zoned differently than they currently are (please specify address, parcel number, or business name)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Count</th>
<th>Answered Question</th>
<th>Skipped Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. yes
2. I would like to see the proposed housing area off Morton Street be low impact housing and not be high density.
3. Not that I can think of
4. no comment
5. no
6. no
7. unknown?
8. Zone south of Bay be expanded for mixed use. and then higher density potential adjoining neighborhoods
9. I don't want to see any area built up against the hill that will impact any homeowners aesthetic or monetary value.
10. none that I'm aware of...
11. St. Vincent de Paul, Peninsula Feed, WestBay Shopping Center, Bay Ford
12. from Bay St up Perry Ave. N to Tracy there should be zoned for multi-family housing. There are pockets of multi-family housing currently.
13. ?
14. No comment.

16. Are there specific housing types you would encourage in the Wings, or Gateways of downtown?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Count</th>
<th>Answered Question</th>
<th>Skipped Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. single family detached, condos
2. Low impact housing, 2-3 story restrictions for heights meeting design standards.
3. Turn of the century to 1930's period looking houses and buildings.
4. Condo with views would be nice, new, and attractive.
5. affordability is debatable, so just be sure that you allow enough housing to create a population big enough to support nearby businesses
6. condominiums
7. none
8. Craftman and or Victorian styles
9. Condos
10. yes, mix housing with community support (stores)
11. If housing ends up being built, I would like to see something build with historic details.
12. chain restaurants, large "box" bookstore, up-scale housing on upper floors, retail on the bottom floors...
13. Condos, apartments
14. mixed condo/retail buildings
15. housing above retail/business
16. Condos
17. condos, multifamily housing
18. High density housing (like condos), however I would restrict the height so as to to block views.
19. Modern type construction should be discouraged; craftsman for residences or 19th Century type construction for other commercial type structures.
20. condos townhouses
21. If we are to have condo's at least try to make them look like older more stylish building of the previous decades. Not "futurist" concrete, glass lined boxes. I think about how bad some of the apartments along Alkai Beach in Seattle look. Concrete, glass and balconies. No character.
22. I think mixed use, retail on the first floor and housing above is best suited to all areas.
23. owner-occupied, period appearance.
24. Structures that are vertically and horizontally modulated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17. Are there specific housing types you would discourage in the Wings or Gateways of Downtown?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>duplex, apartments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yes, I strongly disagree with allowing large and tall buildings made mostly of concrete and steel with a large city appearance in our small town.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yes, anything that does not look like definition in question 2.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run down rentals, renters don't have the pride in ownership like a home owner.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>remember that condos can be rented, too, so they actually serve more than one type of resident--just be sure the owner/renter can afford the place</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>none</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apartments</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Family residences (one house per lot)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too modern or boxy, with no consistent style that fits with the area.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ones with no architectural integrity</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duplexes</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apartments and low rent facilities</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
15. condos
16. single family units.
17. large lots for single family detached housing.
18. Modern type construction should be discouraged; craftsman for residence or 19th type construction for other commercial type structures.
19. big apartment buildings
20. Modernist, glass, concrete buildings, that lose their character to are so years down the line. Buildings with character that last through time. Ones people want to live in even though they are twenty or thirty years old.
21. No comment.
22. massive apartments, T 1-11 sided homes, cheap construction, low-income projects, duplexes/triplexes
23. Blocky concrete

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>18. Do you have any comments or questions about the Wings or Gateways of Downtown Port Orchard?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 answered questions 12 shipped question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. preserve views when possible
2. Though the wings occluded accommodate some taller heights than the immediate downtown area, I prefer that the whole downtown provide a welcome to visitors, not unlike LaConner, Steilacoom or Gig Harbor. With our gorgeous vistas, views should not be blocked by excessive structures but more open for general public viewing. Think small scale and attractive.
3. I can't wait to see some positive change in our downtown.
4. no
5. the only parcel that should be taken down is the Library, bus depot. Move that building somewhere else and open up more parking along the waterfront to flow from end to end
6. no
7. I'd like to have the opportunity to see an illustration of what changes may look like when plans are developed, before any action is taken, as a citizen of downtown Port Orchard.
8. Do something!
9. I think I've put some of the answers to the questions of Zoning within prior answers and hope you would carry them to here. I'd change the West Wing to be Option 2 West of Port Orchard Blvd and East of the Blvd, Option 3, except include the triangle of lots above Vlist Motors and Marina Mart.
10. Please make it more appealing to the citizens so we don't need to go out of town for a good time.
11. The development of our downtown is crucial to our future. How it is going to look and feel is as important as to what is going to be here. Developers who don't live here and won't when their project is done, don't necessarily have our best interest at heart. Those of us who live here, and will after construction, have to live with what is built and therefore have a huge stake in the process. Finally, the historic residences that line the hill and abut to the downtown are every bit as important to our image as the commercial buildings. A buffer or tasteful construction must provide the transition between the two areas. For example, again, if the garage is to go on Prospect, it must be ALL underground with tasteful construction on the lid, such as a new Library and Community Center with craftsman detail and early century charm. Finally, do not let the dollars that developers want to bring dupe us into selling out our town at the expense of character, scale, and function.
12. We can discuss all the various ways in which it can develop forever, what specific questions need to be answered in order to move forward? I think determining the wing borders was a good one. I think we need to determine the theme as well.
19. Please submit your name, address, and email. Your information will not be shared or sold to anyone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>96.5%</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td>93.8%</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address 2</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City/Town</td>
<td>98.8%</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>93.0%</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIP/Postal Code</td>
<td>96.9%</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email Address</td>
<td>93.8%</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone Number</td>
<td>71.9%</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answered question</td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skipped question</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

20. Would you like to be notified via email of upcoming meetings and the Comprehensive Planning process regarding the Downtown Wings or Gateways?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>88.7%</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>34.3%</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answered question</td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skipped question</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tremont Corridor Study Area

1. Tremont Corridor Vision Statement: The Tremont Corridor is the primary entry point of three into the City of Port Orchard from Highway 16. Presently the area is a mix of single-family residences, commercial/health care facilities and multi-family residences. The expanded Harrison Hospital Urgent Care Campus and Group Health facilities are the anchors for businesses along the corridor, particularly from Pottery Avenue west to Highway 16. The Tremont Corridor is a through-way for travelers and residents wanting to access shops and services in the core of the city and businesses and homes in outlying areas. The Tremont Corridor also announces to residents and visitors alike that the city has economic vitality and provides services and opportunities to its citizens and residents in the south Kitsap area. Tremont Corridor residents and citizens would not like to see the corridor developed with strip malls and expansive views of parking lots. The corridor should encourage professional businesses that support the health care facilities already in place and businesses that allow the continuing free flow of traffic from Highway 16 into the downtown areas. Whenever possible, vehicular access should be combined to limit the number of access points onto Tremont. Tremont Avenue is expected to be improved and widened with sidewalks, street trees and a landscaped island that will create a boulevard style of roadway. The Tremont corridor will require new design standards that will necessitate screening on new development while maintaining a human scale to new projects. If parking is placed adjacent to Tremont Street, there should be adequate screening to prevent the parking lots from becoming the focal point of new development. Individual structures outside the community facilities zoning, should not be large rectangular buildings but should be smaller and visually interesting with both vertical and horizontal modulations. In addition, Tremont Corridor stakeholders envision strict signage requirements allowing monumnet signs that are tastefully designed and constructed of natural materials. Tall, rotating, internally lighted, neon or flashing signs are to be discouraged. A limited number of multi-family structures such as condominiums are appropriate within walking distances of the major health care facilities. Multi-family development would provide support for the health care anchor businesses. A limited number of restaurants should be encouraged to support those living, working or visiting the health care facilities. Regulations and design guidelines should help to develop a system of trails that is pedestrian and bike friendly that connect the Tremont Corridor to the Port Orchard marine walkway with trails through natural areas. The corridor from Pottery Avenue east to Sidney Road consists primarily of single-family residences. Allowances should be made for the single-family residences to remain but if the owners at some point in the future wish to convert the residences to office space, the corridor regulations should encourage but not require retention rather than tear downs and replacement with larger office buildings. Do you generally agree with this vision statement?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Response Count</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>78.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

answered question 46

skipped question 1
2. Do you have any suggestions, changes or comments about the vision statement?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Count</th>
<th>Answered Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Do you have any suggestions, changes or comments about the vision statement?

Open-Ended Response

1. Because the vision statement and zoning regulations may be revisited in five years, it would make sense to focus on protecting the residential property owners for the time being. Considering that many of the owners are elderly and have lived on the properties many years and made them their homes, we would like to see that they do not realize property tax increases from zoning changes. We are in agreement that the corridor remain a corridor; routing traffic through the area as quickly and efficiently as possible to other Port Orchard destinations. We hope that the residents will be protected with sufficient berms and landscaping to allow families safety and privacy. The idea of design standards are wonderful. Having Port Orchard move towards a lovely destination for visitors seems like a great way to utilize our lovely location. Thank you.

2. IT IS MY OPINION THAT WE SHOULD GO AHEAD AND DEVELOP THIS AREA.

3. The round about on Pottery does meet the "Design Manual" M22-01 WA DOT page 915-1. The round about on Kitsap Blvd. meets the criteria. Also, response time for Pottery Ave by the fire department is a critical issue based on the increasing number of senior care facilities and medical facilities. I will never vote for Rob Putanssu, Fred Chang, Carolyn Powers, Fred Olin or John Clausen for any public office again, and will work to get my neighbors to not vote for them. I am highly disappointed in the City.

4. How does this "vision" promote the revitalization of downtown Port Orchard? It appears that downtown will become a virtual ghost town because all of the traffic will bypass it. Why aren't you putting all of your time, effort and money into a plan that will improve the existing businesses and attract new businesses to the downtown area?

5. This area should remain primarily residential as the original plan was to widen Tremont Street, NOT rezone for commercialization. This proposed plan does NOTHING to help the downtown merchants and corridor; it will only detract from their businesses. Both the fire department and police department have expressed concern over the proposed plan, citing that it will be more difficult for them to reach the residents of the senior living facilities on Pottery. How will pedestrians cross 4 lanes of traffic? Have you considered the number of students that need to cross Tremont and Pottery to and from school?

6. This area has to remain primarily residential, with minimal commercial businesses intermixed along the street. THE PROPOSED LARGE, ARBITRARY RE-ZONING FROM RESIDENTIAL TO COMMERCIAL WILL RAISE TAXES AND FORCE PEOPLE OUT OF THEIR HOMES. ATTRACTING BUSINESSES BY TAXING PRIVATE RESIDENCE OUT OF THEIR HOMES WILL NOT BENEFIT THE CITY. IT WILL ONLY BENEFIT PROMOTERS AND SHORT TERM CONSTRUCTION ENTITIES AND CREATE A HUGE AFTER HOUR DEAD ZONE THAT WILL INCREASE CRIME AND VANDALISM.

7. Add "deli style" to paragraph 5, such that it reads: "a limited number of deli-style restaurants should be encouraged.....

8. no

9. Widen the road, making it easier for people to travel to that area.
10. I only disagree with the last proviso; Make design regulations that allow for commercial, with buildings at the "front" of the property and parking behind, with adequate sidewalks, trees and lighting. The design guidelines could call for a specific style of building.

11. Two things: I would like to eliminate the word "limited" on the descriptions of how many restaurants should be encouraged. This would be a great place for business--close to the freeway, close to Port Orchard residents (so we don't have to drive to Silverdale when we want to eat out), and 2. Wouldn't this be a good place for a park and ride lot? I like the idea of it hidden from the street, so that trees block the view of an expansive lot, but the location would be great for people who commute north.

12. Clarify and strengthen the access issue, new residential should not be accessed from Tremont to limit turning.

13. While restaurants support the existing population, having entry off of Tremont is still going to slow or impede the flow of traffic.

14. We have heard rumors of a roundabout at the intersection of pottery and tremont. If it is well done, like the one on Bethel, we support it.

15. Leave as is.

16. No.

---

### 3. Which of the following maps best describes the area that should be within the Tremont Corridor sub area?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>26.6%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- answered question 28
- skipped question 19

---

### 4. Are there other areas that should be included or excluded from the Tremont Corridor boundary?

- answered question 17
- skipped question 30

Are there other areas that should be included or excluded from the Tremont Corridor boundary?
Open-Ended Response

1. If map C or D is chosen...Because I live in the very corner of Tremont and West Avenue, 405 Tremont, and there is currently only limited access to it which is from Tremont right at the intersection area of Tremont and Sidney Ave., it would seem important and reasonable to include the end of West Avenue dead end within the boundary line and perhaps even the corner treed section of unused property between West Avenue and Sidney Avenue to allow a second option for easy access from Sidney Avenue to the (my) 405 Tremont corner property.

2. It seems vital to listen to the concerns of the residents within the areas regarding rezoning. In general, rezoning should be kept to a minimum to protect the residents currently residing there.

3. NO

4. Exclude the residences on Tremont Place from being turned into businesses and cafes. Forget the roundabout—there is too much traffic on Tremont for something of that nature. Having travelled in Europe, I can tell you that they do not employ roundabouts in high traffic/pedestrian areas. They are for more rural/residential areas, where the flow of traffic is slower and there is less pedestrian foot traffic.

5. It is interesting that you cannot "disturb" businesses who have only recently opened, but have no problem disturbing and inconveniencing citizens who have lived in this area for over 35 years. Don't forget, election year is coming.

6. The area above Tremont goes too deep, the pond that it cuts through has no outlet and it also takes out a house that was just built in that steep sloped pond area.

7. tighten up on north side of Tremont east of Pottery

8. I believe the neighborhood of Forest Park should not be overlooked. This would encompass the area between South Kitsap Boulevard and Sidney, and Tremont and South St. This neighborhood is quite run down and the existing zoning does not encourage redevelopment at all. Business Professional zoning makes some sense on the Tremont Fronting parcels as long as it goes far enough back off the street to make parcel assemblage by a developer feasible. This should than fall back to High Density R20 zoning all the way back to South St. This would make redevelopment of some of the currently eyesore properties into duplexes and small apartment buildings a very viable option. Also I think it is very important that the Northeast and Southeast corners of the Sidney - Tremont intersection be looked at as well. Particularly the North East corner. It makes a lot of sense as a commercial site if there is sewer available to it.

9. Huh? What's this?

10. no

11. no

12. I don't understand the maps...The writing is too small to read.

13. I suggest the South side of Alt B with the North side of D to the Commercial (red) along Port Orchard Blvd and then no more on the North side.

14. this is very hard to read/understand

15. There are no explanations as to what I'm looking at on the map??

16. They all look the same. How are they different? No street names here. Can't tell what I'm looking at.
17. The corridor should extend across Sidney to include the corner of Sidney and Tremont

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5. Would you like to be included in the Tremont Corridor Stakeholders email list?</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
<td>61.5%</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>39.5%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>answered question</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>skipped question</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. Please select the item(s) that best describes your relationship with the Tremont Corridor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I live in the Tremont Corridor and own my home.</td>
<td>31.7%</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I own vacant land in the Tremont Corridor.</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I live in the Tremont Corridor and rent my home.</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I own a business in the Tremont Corridor.</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I own an office/commercial building and rent space to businesses.</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I patronize medical facilities or commercial enterprises in the Tremont Corridor</td>
<td>43.9%</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Tremont Corridor is my primary access into the city of Port Orchard.</td>
<td>63.4%</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>22.0%</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

answered question 41

skipped question 6
### 7. If you live in or own a business in the Tremont Corridor, how long?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A Less than 6 months.</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Six months to two years.</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Two years to five years.</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D More than five years.</td>
<td>81.3%</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

answered question: 16  
skipped question: 31

### 8. Which sub committees or subjects would you be most interested in participating in?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Land Use/Design Guidelines.</td>
<td>52.0%</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential/Multi-family Housing.</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation/Pedestrian Improvements.</td>
<td>52.0%</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural environment.</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

answered question: 25  
skipped question: 22

### 9. What is the best thing about living/working in the Tremont Corridor?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

answered question: 15  
skipped question: 32
What is the best thing about living/working in the Tremont Corridor?

Open-Ended Response
1. Easy access to Hwy 16 and Downtown
2. WANTING TO SELL
3. Easy commute
4. The close proximity to downtown and Highway 16. It still has a residential feel, but is close the heart of the town.
5. The close proximity to downtown and Hwy. 16.
6. NO CRIME, GOOD NEIGHBORS, REASONABLE TAXES AND NATURAL ENVIRONMENT.
7. Parking is convien for users of services.
8. The traffic noise except when I'm in the mood for peace and quiet. The location is amazing. It couldn't be better for my business.
9. the trees instantly move you away from the freeway feeling
10. I don't live or work there, but I commute through it every day as I either head north to Bremerton to work, or as I drive north to do my shopping/dining in Silverdale.
11. close to highway
12. proximity to commerce and recreational options
13. I live on May St. and it is a nice little street with no thru traffic.
15. N/A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What would you like to keep about Tremont Corridor?</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>answered question</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>skipped question</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What would you like to keep about Tremont Corridor?

Open-Ended Response
1. The tall trees that currently exist.
2. The Corridor is unusual in it's lovely natural beauty; mature trees and older homes. Even though many of the older homes need repair, they add to the small town feel that people in this area love. That is not to say homes should continue in disrepair but instead of being leveled, it is appropriate to have them remodeled and used for low impact offices along the corridor.
3. NOTHING
4. Traffic lights with two lanes in each direction. This more then doubles the capacity.
5. I would like to see it kept residential. If homeowners on Tremont Street are so inclined to sell their properties to businesses, that is their prerogative, however, I suspect that residents are going to hear the words "eminent domain" very shortly, and that is a tragedy.
6. MY HOME.
7. GOOD NEIGHBORS, REASONABLE TAXES AND NATURAL ENVIRONMENT.
8. Keep the stop light on corner of Pottery and Tremont.
9. The planned Traffic Circles.
10. trees
11. I like the idea of the tree-lined center island, giving it a boulevard-type feel.
12. Keep and expand Park to include full SW corner of Tremont and Sidney, make sure to include boulevard feel with tree buffers for business and multi-family uses.
13. no round-about
14. same as above
15. Stay with the present system of lights, but make sure they are synchronized for better movement of vehicles
16. I like the low key atmosphere and the commercial buildings that already exist are for the most part attractive.
17. No roundabout at Tremont & Pottery.
18. Keep or require landscaping so it doesn't look like an industrial park

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>11. What would you like to change about the Tremont Corridor?</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>answered question</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>skipped question</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What would you like to change about the Tremont Corridor?

Open-Ended Response

1. The obvious - two lanes all the way to 16 and sidewalks on both sides of Tremont. Perhaps a Bicycle lane.
2. Of course, abandoned lots containing trash or unsightly buildings are not preferred. The chiropractic clinic is an unfortunate city permit error. It's "apparent" Violation of permitted setbacks and right of way has caused many problems in dealing with the future plans. We are in favor of considering the removal of part of that building rather than have the 20 foot shift of the corridor to the north to avoid it.
3. MORE COMMERCIAL BUSINESSES
4. The city should listen to the fire and medical personnel.
5. I would like to see the traffic signal at Tremont and Pottery kept in place and this roundabout proposal tossed out the window. Anyone who has had experiences with roundabouts would realize that it will only further impede traffic and inconvenience pedestrians. Don't forget that there are two schools located on Pottery (Cedar Heights and Sidney Glen) whose students frequently walk to and from school. Additionally, with the number of senior housing facilities on Pottery, fire and police have expressed their concern in arriving in a timely fashion when called (which, from my understanding, is quite frequently). How can our city council ignore this?
6. Forget the roundabout and keep the traffic signal. I don't want to see this area become an "asphalt jungle" with plants and trees placed to mask the ugliness of what is being proposed. Additionally, aren't there enough businesses and strip malls sitting vacant in Port Orchard already? What is the purpose of destroying homes in an attempt to further commercialize Port Orchard? If downtown revitalization is so important to this community, why are you creating the Tremont Corridor so that people will
completely bypass the downtown area? Why aren’t you encouraging businesses to set up shop downtown?  It is interesting to note that while you are giving private citizens an opportunity to speak at the city council meetings, several of your members are sitting in a manner that clearly indicates they are bored and disinterested in what their constituents have to say. These positions that you hold are not your God given right—they can be easily taken away if you do not listen to the public and that means the public that does not agree with this proposal.

7. ALL WE NEED ARE SIDEWALKS LINING THE STREETS AND A FEW RESTAURANTS AND SHOPS. NO ROUNDABOUTS, NO LANDSCAPED ARTIFICIAL CENTER ISLAND IN THE ROAD. AND THE RIGHT MIX OF MEDICAL FACILITIES AND LAWYERS OFFICES AMONG RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY TO AVOID CRIME ZONES.

8. Beautify along some of the properties. Hide the holding pond by Roland and Tremont with either hedges or trees.

9. Zoning to allow businesses that will create jobs and provide much needed services.

10. traffic flow and livability.

11. The traffic can be really bad through there.

12. Expand Condos so that more yard free living available. Condos are sparse but becoming a real option as our residents become older and interested in easy access to transportation, medical and more level walk way than is in many of the city’s hilly neighborhood without transportation.

13. Traffic flow around 4-5 pm is terrible.

14. Be sure the multi-family uses are consistent with a Transit Development corridor.

15. more side walks

16. don’t sacrifice those options for growth

17. A four lane boulevard style development with trees foliage along the rightaway, complete with arch or other announcement that you have arrived in Port Orchard.

18. Something needs to be done to increase traffic flow.

19. From the intersection of Tremont and Pottery to Sidney is somewhat unsightly.

20. Widening of the streets. 2 lanes each direction

21. More than one lane in each direction from Harrison Urgent care light up to Bethany Lutheran Church.

22. Would like to see a boulevard style of roadway to Port Orchard Boulavard with landscape island in the center.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12. Commercial land use/economic goals (1 most important 5 not important)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Rating Average</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expand encourage small business</td>
<td>42.4% (14)</td>
<td>12.1% (4)</td>
<td>27.3% (9)</td>
<td>3.0% (1)</td>
<td>15.2% (5)</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage mixed-use commercial (commercial &amp; residential)</td>
<td>33.3% (11)</td>
<td>12.1% (4)</td>
<td>18.2% (6)</td>
<td>9.1% (3)</td>
<td>27.3% (9)</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support the existence of a single-family neighborhood</td>
<td>38.7% (12)</td>
<td>19.4% (6)</td>
<td>19.4% (6)</td>
<td>6.5% (2)</td>
<td>16.1% (5)</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adopt existing design guidelines for all new development</td>
<td>16.1% (5)</td>
<td>22.6% (7)</td>
<td>19.4% (6)</td>
<td>16.1% (5)</td>
<td>25.6% (8)</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create new design guidelines for all new development</td>
<td>41.9% (13)</td>
<td>9.7% (3)</td>
<td>22.6% (7)</td>
<td>3.2% (1)</td>
<td>22.6% (7)</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promote creation of new business incubator</td>
<td>21.9% (7)</td>
<td>9.4% (3)</td>
<td>34.4% (11)</td>
<td>6.3% (2)</td>
<td>28.1% (9)</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide high density multi-family dwelling units</td>
<td>12.9% (4)</td>
<td>9.7% (3)</td>
<td>19.4% (6)</td>
<td>29.0% (8)</td>
<td>29.0% (9)</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What other Commercial land use/economic goals are important to you that are not listed above?</td>
<td>answered question</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>skipped question</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Aesthetic design goals (1 most important 5 not important)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Rating Average</td>
<td>Response Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create new design guidelines for all new development that provide flexibility of design and architecturally varied buildings</td>
<td>30.0% (9)</td>
<td>6.7% (2)</td>
<td>26.7% (8)</td>
<td>13.3% (4)</td>
<td>23.3% (7)</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create new design guidelines that are strict so that structures have common designs and look similar</td>
<td>26.7% (8)</td>
<td>13.3% (4)</td>
<td>8.7% (2)</td>
<td>16.7% (6)</td>
<td>38.7% (11)</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Require commercial buildings to not exceed the existing height limit of 33 feet</td>
<td>39.3% (11)</td>
<td>17.9% (5)</td>
<td>21.4% (6)</td>
<td>3.6% (1)</td>
<td>17.9% (5)</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allow new commercial buildings to exceed the 33 feet up to 55 feet when certain conditions are met</td>
<td>26.7% (8)</td>
<td>6.7% (2)</td>
<td>13.3% (4)</td>
<td>16.7% (5)</td>
<td>38.7% (11)</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Require all parking to be at side or rear of commercial / residential buildings with minimal front setbacks</td>
<td>30.0% (9)</td>
<td>30.0% (9)</td>
<td>8.7% (2)</td>
<td>6.7% (2)</td>
<td>26.7% (8)</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preserve existing vegetation</td>
<td>45.2% (14)</td>
<td>6.5% (2)</td>
<td>22.6% (7)</td>
<td>12.9% (4)</td>
<td>12.9% (4)</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide new landscaping better suited for buffering complementing building design</td>
<td>51.7% (15)</td>
<td>17.2% (5)</td>
<td>8.9% (2)</td>
<td>6.9% (2)</td>
<td>17.2% (5)</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide pocket parks</td>
<td>31.3% (10)</td>
<td>21.0% (7)</td>
<td>21.9% (7)</td>
<td>9.4% (3)</td>
<td>15.6% (5)</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide pedestrian trails from the Tremont Corridor to the downtown waterfront</td>
<td>51.6% (16)</td>
<td>22.6% (7)</td>
<td>19.4% (6)</td>
<td>3.2% (1)</td>
<td>3.2% (1)</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What other aesthetic design goals are important to you that are not listed above?

answered question 33

skipped question 14
1. How long have you lived in Port Orchard?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than one year</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 - 5 years</td>
<td>31.5%</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 - 10 years</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 - 20 years</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 20 years</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don't live in Port Orchard</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

answered question 73

2. How long have you lived in Washington?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than one year</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 - 5 years</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 - 10 years</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 - 20 years</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 20 years</td>
<td>51.4%</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don't live in Washington</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

answered question 72

skipped question 1
### 3. How many people live in your household?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Response Average</th>
<th>Response Total</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

answered question 73

skipped question 0

### 4. In what city do you work?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Port Orchard</td>
<td>39.7%</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bremerton</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within Kitsap County, but not in Port Orchard or Bremerton</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pierce County</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King County</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am retired</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am not employed</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None of the above. I work in:</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

answered question 73

skipped question 0
## 5. Which City park did you last visit and why?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Park Name</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Van Zee Park at Sidney/Tremont</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Playfield at 915 Dwight</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Active Club Playground at 1025 Tacoma</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Powers Jr. Park at 2035 Sidney</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td><strong>50.8%</strong></td>
<td><strong>33</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Why did you use the park?

- **answered question** 61
- **skipped question** 8

## 6. Please rate the following: 1 is we have too much; 3 is we have enough; 5 is we need more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Rating Average</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emphasis on children’s recreational opportunities</td>
<td>1.4% (1)</td>
<td>1.4% (1)</td>
<td>7.1% (5)</td>
<td>8.6% (6)</td>
<td><strong>80.0% (56)</strong></td>
<td>1.4% (1)</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emphasis on teenagers’ recreational opportunities</td>
<td>1.4% (1)</td>
<td>1.4% (1)</td>
<td>5.7% (4)</td>
<td>17.1% (12)</td>
<td><strong>57.1% (40)</strong></td>
<td>17.1% (12)</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emphasis on adults’ recreational opportunities</td>
<td>1.5% (1)</td>
<td>2.9% (2)</td>
<td>19.1% (13)</td>
<td>16.2% (11)</td>
<td><strong>57.4% (39)</strong></td>
<td>2.9% (2)</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emphasis on senior citizens’ recreational opportunities</td>
<td>4.6% (3)</td>
<td>4.6% (3)</td>
<td>10.8% (7)</td>
<td>18.5% (12)</td>
<td><strong>36.9% (24)</strong></td>
<td>24.6% (16)</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td>3.2% (1)</td>
<td>0.0% (0)</td>
<td>0.0% (0)</td>
<td>3.2% (1)</td>
<td><strong>51.6% (16)</strong></td>
<td>41.9% (13)</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other (please specify) 19

- **answered question** 72
- **skipped question** 1
### 7. Should the City construct more of the following facilities?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Rating Average</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Road shoulders for walking</td>
<td>90.3% (65)</td>
<td>9.7% (7)</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise trails</td>
<td>82.4% (56)</td>
<td>17.6% (12)</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalks</td>
<td>87.1% (61)</td>
<td>12.9% (9)</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseball fields</td>
<td>28.6% (16)</td>
<td>71.4% (40)</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian facilities</td>
<td>87.1% (54)</td>
<td>12.9% (8)</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playgrounds</td>
<td>90.9% (60)</td>
<td>9.1% (6)</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseball fields</td>
<td>40.4% (23)</td>
<td>59.6% (34)</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basketball courts</td>
<td>59.3% (35)</td>
<td>40.7% (24)</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soccer fields</td>
<td>57.4% (35)</td>
<td>42.6% (26)</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis courts</td>
<td>46.3% (25)</td>
<td>53.7% (29)</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>80.0% (24)</td>
<td>20.0% (6)</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other (please specify) 31

answered question 73

skipped question 0

### 8. What is your favorite recreational activity?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biking</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseball</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>40.8%</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

answered question 71

skipped question 2
9. Van Zee Park is near Tremont Street and Sidney Avenue. It has 2 ball fields, tennis courts, a playground, woods, and a picnic shelter. How often do you use this park?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hardly ever or never</td>
<td>79.5%</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once a month</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than once a month</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How could it be improved, or should it be improved? 40

10. Central Playfield is at 915 Dwight Street. It has a basketball court, a picnic area, playground, shelter, restroom and large field. How often do you use the park?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hardly ever or never</td>
<td>74.6%</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once a month</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than once a month</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How could it be improved, or should it be improved? 34

answered question 71

skipped question 2
11. Paul Powers Jr. Park is located at 2035 Sidney Avenue. It has a play field, limited playground equipment, and no restrooms. How often do you use this park?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hardly ever or never</td>
<td>93.1%</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once a month</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than once a month</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How could it be improved, or should it be improved? 26 answered question, 72 skipped question

12. Rate the City parks: 1 is unsatisfactory, 3 is average, 5 is excellent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Rating Average</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall, how would you rate the City parks?</td>
<td>35.2% (25)</td>
<td>36.6% (26)</td>
<td>16.9% (12)</td>
<td>4.2% (3)</td>
<td>0.0% (0)</td>
<td>7.0% (5)</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall, how would you rate the other parks in the area?</td>
<td>10.8% (7)</td>
<td>24.6% (16)</td>
<td>36.9% (24)</td>
<td>20.0% (13)</td>
<td>3.1% (2)</td>
<td>4.6% (3)</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
13. If our City had to concentrate on one park goal, what should it be?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maintain what we have</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide more and better walking opportunities</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve the cleanliness of the parks</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve access to waterfront</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve safety</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide shelter for larger groups</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve restrooms</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construct outdoor pool</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve more teenager activities</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide more children activities</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construct more parks</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidate parks</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Help our schools</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

answered question: 68

skipped question: 5

14. Should we consider consolidating park services with the other agencies?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>29.2%</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsure</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

answered question: 72

skipped question: 1
Due to budget constraints and guidance from the previous surveys, the City has maintained a modest parks program. In the next questions, please tell us if you agree or disagree with the policies:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGREE</th>
<th>DISAGREE</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The City purchases one piece of playground equipment annually to maintain its playgrounds.</td>
<td>66.2% (47)</td>
<td>33.8% (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New subdivisions are usually required to have one small playground, owned by the homeowners association.</td>
<td>83.1% (59)</td>
<td>16.9% (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Zee Park will not be leased to organized baseball leagues so that other people can have a place to play baseball.</td>
<td>48.5% (33)</td>
<td>51.5% (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The City does not have a recreational program with paid staff because there is not a strong demand for it.</td>
<td>20.3% (14)</td>
<td>79.7% (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A goal of the City is to have parks that are no less than 1 to 2 acres in size in various parts of the community. This would be about as large as Central Playfield, which is 1.4 acres.</td>
<td>76.5% (52)</td>
<td>23.5% (16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

answered question 72  
skipped question 1
### 16. Please comment on the City's First Priority needs:

#### Should this be First Priority?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No, it should be Second Priority</th>
<th>No, it should be Third Priority</th>
<th>No, it should not be a priority</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Consistently allocate a minimum of $5,000 for upgrade or repair of playground equipment:</td>
<td>74.6% (50)</td>
<td>10.4% (7)</td>
<td>13.4% (9)</td>
<td>1.5% (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Establish a fund to purchase future park property:</td>
<td>37.7% (23)</td>
<td>34.4% (21)</td>
<td>16.4% (10)</td>
<td>11.5% (7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Construct a walkway that can accommodate bicycles along Bay Street for the full length of the City:</td>
<td>65.7% (46)</td>
<td>17.1% (12)</td>
<td>11.4% (8)</td>
<td>5.7% (4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Pottery Avenue &amp; Sidney Avenue, south of Tremont Street, should have wide shoulders or sidewalks for pedestrians:</td>
<td>54.4% (37)</td>
<td>20.6% (14)</td>
<td>13.2% (9)</td>
<td>11.8% (8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Provide a park of 1 1/2 - 2 acres to serve future growth in the vicinity of Planning Areas 1 and 6:</td>
<td>39.7% (25)</td>
<td>28.6% (18)</td>
<td>11.1% (7)</td>
<td>20.6% (13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**answered question**

**skipped question**

### 17. Should the City change its First Priorities?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If yes, list new priorities: 30

**answered question**

**skipped question** 13
18. Please comment on the City’s Second Priority needs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Should this be a Second Priority?</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No, it should be a First Priority</th>
<th>No, it should be a Third Priority</th>
<th>No, it should not be a priority</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Coordinate with road improvement projects to increase the amount of walking area along the roads:</td>
<td>54.5% (36)</td>
<td>34.8% (23)</td>
<td>9.1% (6)</td>
<td>1.5% (1)</td>
<td>Response Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Once the Open Space Plan of Kitsap County is adopted, coordinate future projects with that Plan:</td>
<td>75.0% (42)</td>
<td>1.8% (1)</td>
<td>16.1% (9)</td>
<td>7.1% (4)</td>
<td>Response Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Establish projects which would be compatible with the Mosquito Fleet Trail:</td>
<td>58.6% (34)</td>
<td>6.9% (4)</td>
<td>17.2% (10)</td>
<td>17.2% (10)</td>
<td>Response Count</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

19. Should the City change its Second Priorities?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>74.1%</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>25.9%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If yes, list new priorities: 15

answered question 58

skipped question 15
20. Please comment on the City’s Third Priority needs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Should this be a Third Priority?</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No, it should be a First Priority</th>
<th>No, it should be a Second Priority</th>
<th>No, it should not be a priority</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Construct a viewing deck or educational area overlooking Blackjack Creek:</td>
<td>44.3% (27)</td>
<td>8.2% (5)</td>
<td>11.5% (7)</td>
<td>36.1% (22)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Improve waterfront access to the beach near Westbay Shopping Center:</td>
<td>60.6% (40)</td>
<td>9.1% (6)</td>
<td>15.2% (10)</td>
<td>15.2% (10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Look for opportunities to provide a conference center in the downtown area:</td>
<td>37.1% (23)</td>
<td>6.5% (4)</td>
<td>9.7% (6)</td>
<td>46.8% (29)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

21. Should the City change its Third Priorities?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>61.4%</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>38.6%</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If yes, list new priorities: 13

answered question 57

skipped question 16
### 22. If the City were to develop a new park, where should it be located?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Along the water or with a view of it</td>
<td>54.9%</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethel Corridor (Various areas)</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Along or near Blackjack Creek</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not develop a new park</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>answered question</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>71</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>skipped question</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 23. What would you want at the new park? (Check all that apply)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Walking opportunities</td>
<td>80.3%</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picnic tables and areas</td>
<td>83.1%</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playgrounds</td>
<td>76.1%</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseball fields</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterfront access or view</td>
<td>47.9%</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming pool, indoor or out door</td>
<td>31.0%</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skateboard or roller blade areas</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis courts</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open field or areas</td>
<td>38.0%</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basketball court</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large shelter for group picnics</td>
<td>50.7%</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmon viewing area</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running area for dogs</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean facilities</td>
<td>77.5%</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soccer field</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Response Percent</td>
<td>Response Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horseshoe court</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volleyball court</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**answered question 71**

**skipped question 2**

---

### 24. These are more general questions: What do you like best in Port Orchard? (Check all that apply)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small town</td>
<td>61.1%</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The waterfront and activities</td>
<td>61.1%</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port Orchard's location</td>
<td>58.3%</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The people</td>
<td>45.8%</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The quiet environment</td>
<td>48.6%</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown and the shopping area</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The library</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The City hall building and chimes</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmer's market</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**answered question 72**

**skipped question 1**
25. If you could change one thing about Port Orchard, what would it be?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suggestion</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improve or revitalize the downtown area</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve waterfront access</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More aggressive code enforcement and improving the run down houses</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More visible policing of the City</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More and better sidewalks</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve retail core of City</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve downtown parking</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make the City prettier and cleaner</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paint the downtown</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create a walking or bike trail system</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less City government</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better zoning</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve and enlarge the roads</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove the marquee</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More trees and landscaping</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve parking</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less traffic and less speeding</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve the parks</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce the number of taverns</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow growth</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less noise</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### 26. If you could change one thing within your neighborhood, what would it be?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suggestion</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More enforcement of junk cars and run down property</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More and better sidewalks</td>
<td>21.9%</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More police emphasis on speeding cars, less crime</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wider streets</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove dangerous trees or those blocking views</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better maintenance</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less noise</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaner residential streets</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More street trees</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliminate neighborhood open space areas</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underground power and telephone</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larger lots</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No more condos in single family neighborhoods</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smaller subdivisions</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair playground</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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27. The City Council meetings are televised and replayed 2 or 3 times during the week on the local access channel, BKAT. Do you watch the program?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes, every one</td>
<td>4.3% 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once in awhile</td>
<td>27.1% 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rarely</td>
<td>15.7% 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>20.0% 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I didn’t know it was televised</td>
<td>32.9% 23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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28. Do you use email or internet? If so, where do you access it? (Check all that apply)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Access Location</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Home</td>
<td>98.6% 71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work</td>
<td>37.5% 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>4.2% 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don’t use email or internet</td>
<td>0.0% 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>1.4% 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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29. Now it is your turn. What are we doing wrong, could do better, or what would you like to see more of?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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